12-28-04

1Fw)

ن ^{ائ} ري.	•				PTO/SB/21 (09-04 Approved for use through 07/31/2006, OMB 0651-0031
	work Reduction Act of 1995.	no persons	u.s. Pa are required to respond to a colle Application Number	ection of info	rademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE ormation unless it displays a valid OMB control number
TRA	NSMITTAL		Filing Date		/747,728 2-29-03
FORM			First Named Inventor		in J. Williams, Jr.
& IHI			Art Unit	1111	3643
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)		Examiner Name	J. Gellner		
Total Number of Pages in This Submission 4		4	Attomey Docket Number		
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)					
Fee Transmit	ttal Form Attached		Prawing(s)		After Allowance Communication to TC Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences
Express Abar	' '		Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Prower of Attorney, Revocation Phange of Correspondence Actornial Disclaimer Request for Refund Provisional Communication Provisional Communic		Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Proprietary Information Status Letter Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below):
Document(s) Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53		1. postal receipt card 2. reply to election/restriction requirement with telephone interviews			
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT					
Law Office of Adrienne B. N aumann, Esq.					
Signature Och. Mayer, Esg.					
Printed name Adrienne B. Naumann, Esq.					
Date 12-27-04		Re	eg. No.	33,744	
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage ax 3000 cross with in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on					
Signature U.S. Express Majal No. ER 936009505 US Veh & Walle ex					
Typed or printed nam	e Adr	ienne	B. Naumann, I	7	Date 12-27-04

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS, SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.



December 27, 2004

Mr. Jeffrey L. Gellner Art Unit 3643 United States Patent & Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

REPLY TO OFFICE ACTION OF DECEMBER 3, 2004 ELECTION/RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Re:

Applicant and Inventor: Marvin J. Williams, Jr.

Application Number: 10/747,728 Filing Date: December 29, 2003

Art Unit: 3643

Examiner: Jeffrey L. Gellner

Applicant elects the following single disclosed species pursuant to the December 3, 2004

Office Action in the above captioned case:

Species I - B - soybean.

The claims readable thereon are as follows:

Claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13.

SUMMARY OF TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH EXAMINER

ON DECEMBER 6, 2004

Participants: Adrienne B. Naumann, Esq. and Examiner Jeffrey L. Gellner.

Topic: Restriction/election Requirement of Office Action of December 3, 2004.

Others present: None.

Exhibits or other Demonstrations: None.

Claims Discussed: Claims 1 –14.

Prior Art Discussed: None

Re: Reply to Election/Restriction Requirement of December 3, 2004; Application No.

10/747,728

Page 2 of 3

Substantive Amendments Discussed: None

Matter Discussed:

Ms. Naumann inquired how Mr. Gellner designated species and grouped claims listed in

the Office Summary per species in the December 3, 2004 Office Action. Mr. Geller

explained that Claim 1 is an example of Species I, and Claim 14 is representative of

Species II, because of the difference in farming methods. Ms. Naumann's understanding

is that Species A is the method in which the mulch comprises buckwheat and is

represented by Claim 8, while Species B is the method in which the mulch comprises

buckwheat and wheat (which is represented by Claim 9). The species in which a

commercial crop is soybeans is represented by Claim 3.

SUMMARY OF TELEPHONE CONFERENCE

WITH EXAMINER ON DECEMBER 8, 2004

Participants: Adrienne B. Naumann, Esq. and Examiner Jeffrey L. Gellner.

Topic: Restriction/election requirement of Office Action of December 3, 2004.

Others present: None.

Exhibits or other Demonstrations: None.

Claims Discussed: Claims 1 –14.

Prior Art Discussed: None

Re: Reply to Election/Restriction Requirement of December 3, 2004; Application No.

10/747,728

Page 3 of 3

Matter Discussed:

Ms. Naumann stated Applicant's proposed claim groups to Mr. Gellner, who confirmed these groups for the election/restriction requirement as follows: Claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, and 13: I-B-soybean; Claims 8: I-A; Claim 14: Species II. He also clarified the basis for designation of buckwheat and wheat methods as separate species.

Respectively submitted,

Marvin J. Williams, Esq.

Inventor and Applicant

By Ochon (). Man Common Adrienne B. Naumann, Esq.

Reg. No. 33,744

Phone: 847-329-8185

Fax: 847-329-8750